

XII International Conference
Stochastic and Analytic Methods
in Mathematical Physics

Gibbs Scheme

in the Theory of Random Fields



Linda Khachatryan

Yerevan, 2026

Mathematical statistical physics

central concept: *Gibbs random field*

physical point of view

DLR-definition based on
the notion of potential
(Dobrushin-Lanford-Ruelle)

$$\Phi \rightarrow H^\Phi \rightarrow Q^\Phi$$

probabilistic point of view

Pr-definition based on the
intrinsic properties of a
random field

uniform convergence of
one-point finite-conditional
distributions

first attempt: S. Dachian
and B.S. Nahapetian, 2009

Purpose: expand and clarify the concept of the class of **Gibbs random fields** and give its structure the form accepted in the theory of random processes (fields)

- purely probabilistic definition
- theory of Gibbs random fields according to a scheme typical for the theory of random processes
- existence theorem in the framework of DLR–definition as a representation theorem
- all positive random fields admit Gibbsian representation in terms of transition energies
- Gibbsian description of known classes of random fields; validity of limit theorems of probability theory

L.A. Khachatryan and B.S. Nahapetian, *Gibbs scheme in the theory of random fields*. Ann. Henri Poincaré, 2026

Random field

Positive random field $P = \{P_V, V \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ on $(X^{\mathbb{Z}^d}, \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{Z}^d})$ with finite state space X

Finite-conditional distributions: for all $V, \Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $V \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ and $x \in X^V$, $z \in X^\Lambda$

$$g_V^z(x) = \frac{P_{V \cup \Lambda}(xz)}{P_\Lambda(z)}$$

Let $\{\Lambda_n\}_{n \geq 1}$: $\Lambda_n \subset \Lambda_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\bigcup \Lambda_n = \mathbb{Z}^d$

Filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{B}^{\Lambda_n}\}_{n \geq 1}$: $\mathcal{B}^{\Lambda_n} \subset \mathcal{B}^{\Lambda_{n+1}} \subset \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ (in what follows, we will consider only such filtrations, usually without explicitly specifying the corresponding increasing sequence.)

Conditional distributions of a random field

Conditional distributions: for all $V \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $x \in X^V$ and P -a.e. $\bar{x} \in X^{V^c}$

$$g_V^{\bar{x}}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_V^{\bar{x} \wedge_n \setminus V}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{\Lambda_n}(x \bar{x} \wedge_n \setminus V)}{P_{\Lambda_n \setminus V}(\bar{x} \wedge_n \setminus V)} \quad (1)$$

Set of admissible boundary conditions:

$$\mathcal{X}_V(\mathcal{F}) = \{\bar{x} \in X^{V^c} : \text{limits in (1) exist for all } x \in X^V\}, V \in \mathbb{Z}^d$$

$G(P, \mathcal{F}) = \{g_V^{\bar{x}}, \bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}_V(\mathcal{F}), V \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ — *system of conditional distributions of the random field P with respect to $\mathcal{F}$.*

★ Any positive system $G(P, \mathcal{F})$ can be restored by the system of one-point conditional distributions

$$G_1(P, \mathcal{F}) = \{g_t^{\bar{x}}, \bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}_t(\mathcal{F}), t \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

Gibbs random fields. Probabilistic definition

A random field P will be called a *Gibbs random field* if there exists a filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{B}^{\Lambda_n}\}_{n \geq 1}$ and sets of admissible boundary conditions $\mathcal{Y}_t(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{X}_t(\mathcal{F})$, $P(\mathcal{Y}_t(\mathcal{F})) = 1$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, such that for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and any $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{Y}_t(\mathcal{F})$, the limits of finite-conditional distributions

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{\Lambda_n}(x\bar{x}_{\Lambda_n \setminus t})}{P_{\Lambda_n \setminus t}(\bar{x}_{\Lambda_n \setminus t})} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_t^{\bar{x}_{\Lambda_n \setminus t}}(x) = g_t^{\bar{x}}(x), \quad x \in X^t,$$

are positive and convergence with respect to $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{Y}_t(\mathcal{F})$ is uniform.

- ★ P is Gibbsian if there exists $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_t(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{X}_t(\mathcal{F})$, s.t. $P(\mathcal{Y}_t(\mathcal{F})) = 1$ and $g_t^{\bar{x}}$ are positive and quasilocal.
- ★ Probabilistic meaning of the class of Gibbs random fields: it is a uniform extension of the class of Markov random fields.
- ★ Dachian and Nahapetian (2009) used more restrictive conditions: uniform convergence with respect to *all boundary conditions* $x \in X^{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus t}$

- Uniform convergence with respect to one filtration implies uniform convergence with respect to any filtration.

Consider

$$\mathcal{X}_t = \bigcap_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{X}_t(\mathcal{F}), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

where the intersection is taken over all possible filtrations $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{B}^{\wedge_n}\}_{n \geq 1}$.

Theorem. If P is a *Gibbs random field*, then for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, one has $P(\mathcal{X}_t) = 1$.

If for a random field P , $P(\mathcal{X}_t) \neq 1$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then P is *not a Gibbs random field*.

Example: Mixture of Bernoulli random fields

Let $\tau > 0$ and consider the random field with state space $X = \{0, 1\}$ and

$$P_V(x) = \int_0^1 p^{|x|} (1-p)^{|V|-|x|} \tau p^{\tau-1} dp,$$

where $|x| = |\{t \in V : x_t = 1\}|$, $x \in X^V$, $V \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{B}^{\Lambda_n}\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a filtration. For all $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $p \in [0, 1]$, denote

$$U_t^p(\mathcal{F}) = \left\{ \bar{x} \in X^{t^c} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x \wedge_n|}{|\Lambda_n|} = p \right\}.$$

The set of admissible boundary conditions is $\mathcal{X}_t(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{p \in [0,1]} U_t^p(\mathcal{F})$.

Then for $\mathcal{X}_t = \bigcap_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{X}_t(\mathcal{F})$, we have

$$\mathcal{X}_t = \{\bar{x} \in X^{t^c} : |\{s \in t^c : \bar{x}_s = 1\}| < \infty\} \cup \{\bar{x} \in X^{t^c} : |\{s \in t^c : \bar{x}_s = 0\}| < \infty\}$$

and thus, $P(\mathcal{X}_t) = 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

DLR–Gibbsian random fields are Gibbsian

DLR–definition: A Gibbs random field corresponding to a given uniformly convergent potential Φ is a random field having a version of its conditional distribution that almost everywhere coincides with the Gibbsian specification constructed by Φ .

Equivalently: A random field $P = \{P_V, V \in W\}$ is a Gibbs random field corresponding to the uniformly convergent potential Φ if for P -a.e. boundary conditions $\bar{x}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{\Lambda_n}(x \bar{x}_{\Lambda_n \setminus V})}{P_{\Lambda_n \setminus V}(\bar{x}_{\Lambda_n \setminus V})} = \frac{\exp\{-H_V^{\bar{x}}(x)\}}{\sum_{z \in X^V} \exp\{-H_V^{\bar{x}}(z)\}} \quad (2)$$

where $x \in X^V$, $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}_V(\mathcal{F})$, $V \in W$, $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{B}^{\Lambda_n}\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a filtration and $H_V^{\bar{x}}(x) = \sum_{t,s \in V} \Phi_{t,s}(x_t x_s) + \sum_{t \in V} \sum_{s \in V^c} \Phi_{t,s}(x_t \bar{x}_s)$.

Limits in (2) are quasilocal $\Rightarrow$ the convergence is uniform.

Transition energies of a random field

System of transition energies

$$\Delta(P, \mathcal{F}) = \{\Delta_{\bar{V}}^{\bar{x}}, \bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}_V(\mathcal{F}), V \in W\}$$

of a random field P with positive system $G(P, \mathcal{F})$

$$\Delta_{\bar{V}}^{\bar{x}}(x, u) = \ln \frac{g_{\bar{V}}^{\bar{x}}(x)}{g_{\bar{V}}^{\bar{x}}(u)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln \frac{P_{\Lambda_n}(x \bar{x}_{\Lambda_n \setminus V})}{P_{\Lambda_n}(u \bar{x}_{\Lambda_n \setminus V})}, \quad x, u \in X^V \quad (3)$$

Functions (3) satisfy

$$\Delta_{\bar{V}}^{\bar{x}}(x, u) = \Delta_{\bar{V}}^{\bar{x}}(x, y) + \Delta_{\bar{V}}^{\bar{x}}(y, u), \quad x, u, y \in X^V;$$

$$\Delta_{\bar{V} \cup I}^{\bar{x}}(xy, uv) = \Delta_{\bar{V}}^{\bar{x}y}(x, u) + \Delta_{\bar{I}}^{\bar{x}x}(y, v), \quad x, u \in X^V, y, v \in X^I$$

★ Autonomous (*a priori*) given analogue — transition energies field — and axiomatic definition of Hamiltonian were introduced by Dachian and Nahapetian in Markov Processes Relat. Fields, 2019

Gibbs form of conditional distributions

Theorem. *Let P be a random field with a positive system $G(P, \mathcal{F})$ of its conditional distributions and let $\Delta(P, \mathcal{F})$ be its system of transition energies. Then the elements of $G(P, \mathcal{F})$ necessarily have a Gibbs form*

$$g_V^{\bar{x}}(x) = \frac{\exp\{\Delta_V^{\bar{x}}(x, u)\}}{\sum_{\alpha \in X^V} \exp\{\Delta_V^{\bar{x}}(\alpha, u)\}},$$

$x, u \in X^V$, $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}_V(\mathcal{F})$, $V \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Theorem. *If a random field P has a quasilocal system of transition energies $\Delta_1(P)$*

$$\lim_{\wedge \uparrow t^c} \sup_{\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \mathcal{X}_t(\mathcal{F}) : \bar{x}_\wedge = \bar{y}_\wedge} \left| \Delta_t^{\bar{x}}(x, u) - \Delta_t^{\bar{y}}(x, u) \right| = 0.$$

then P is Gibbsian.

Gibbs random fields.

Representation theorem

Theorem. *For any quasilocal one-point transition energy field $\Delta_1 = \{\delta_t^{\bar{x}}, \bar{x} \in X^{t^c}, t \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$, there exists a Gibbs random field P corresponding to Δ_1 :*

$$\Delta_t^{\bar{x}}(x, u) = \delta_t^{\bar{x}}(x, u), \quad x, u \in X^t,$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\bar{x} \in X_t(\mathcal{F})$ and any filtration $\mathcal{F}$, where $\Delta_1(P, \mathcal{F}) = \{\Delta_t^{\bar{x}}, \bar{x} \in X_t(\mathcal{F}), t \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$.

- Let $\Phi = \{\Phi_V, V \in W\}$ be a uniformly convergent potential. Then the set $\Delta_1^\Phi = \{\delta_t^{\bar{x}}, \bar{x} \in X^{t^c}, t \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ of functions

$$\delta_t^{\bar{x}}(x, u) = \sum_{J \subset W(t^c)} (\Phi_{t \cup J}(u \bar{x}_J) - \Phi_{t \cup J}(x \bar{x}_J)),$$

$x, u \in X^t$, $\bar{x} \in X^{t^c}$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, forms a quasilocal transition energy field.

- discrete Widom–Rowlinson model (see Dachian, Nahapetian, 2019)

Gibbsian description of Gaussian random fields

Let P be a Gaussian r.f. with mean vector $m = \{m_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ and covariance matrix $C = \{c_{ts}, t, s \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$. Denote

$$C_\Lambda = \{c_{ts}, t, s \in \Lambda\}, \quad A_\Lambda = \{a_{ts}^\Lambda, t, s \in \Lambda\} = C_\Lambda^{-1}, \quad \Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d$$

Let $\{\Lambda_n\} \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ be such that for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, there exists

$$a_{tt} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\det C_{\Lambda_n \setminus \{t\}}}{\det C_{\Lambda_n}}.$$

Proposition. *The elements of the one-point conditional distribution $G_1(P, \mathcal{F}) = \{g_t^{\bar{x}}, \mathcal{X}_t(\mathcal{F}), t \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ have the following form:*

$$g_t^{\bar{x}}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{a_{tt}}{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{a_{tt}}{2} \left((x - m_t) + \frac{1}{a_{tt}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in \Lambda_n \setminus t} a_{ts}^{\Lambda_n} (\bar{x}_s - m_s) \right)^2 \right\},$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^t$, and for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, the set of admissible boundary conditions $\mathcal{X}_t(\mathcal{F})$ is the set of those configurations $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{t^c}$ for which there exist limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in \Lambda_n \setminus t} a_{ts}^{\Lambda_n} (\bar{x}_s - m_s).$$

If for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$a_{tt} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\det C_{\Lambda_n \setminus \{t\}}}{\det C_{\Lambda_n}} > 0,$$

then system $G_1(P, \mathcal{F})$ is positive.

Proposition. *Let Gaussian random field P be such that $a_{tt} > 0$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then the elements of its system $\Delta_1(P, \mathcal{F})$ have the following form:*

$$\Delta_t^{\bar{x}}(x, u) = \frac{a_{tt}}{2} \left((u - m_t)^2 - (x - m_t)^2 \right) + \\ + (u - x) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in \Lambda_n \setminus t} a_{ts}^{\Lambda_n} (\bar{x}_s - m_s),$$

$$x, u \in \mathbb{R}^t, \bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}_t(\mathcal{F}), t \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Theorem. Let P be a Gaussian random field with covariance matrix C and a vector of mean values m . Suppose there is an increasing sequence $\{\Lambda_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of finite sets converging to $\mathbb{Z}^d$ such that limits

$$a_{tt} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\det C_{\Lambda_n}}{\det C_{t \cup \Lambda_n}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

exist and are positive. If there exist sets of admissible boundary conditions $\mathcal{Y}_t(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{X}_t(\mathcal{F})$, $P(\mathcal{Y}_t(\mathcal{F})) = 1$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, such that for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, the convergence in limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in \Lambda_n \setminus t} a_{ts}^{\Lambda_n} (\bar{x}_s - m_s),$$

where $a_{ts}^{\Lambda_n} = (C_{\Lambda_n}^{-1})_{ts}$, is uniform with respect to $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{Y}_t(\mathcal{F})$, then P is a Gibbs random field.

**Thank you
for your attention!**

References

- L.A. Khachatryan, B.S. Nahapetian, Gibbs scheme in the theory of random fields, Ann. Henri Poincaré 27, 2025, pp. 2341–2367
- L.A. Khachatryan, Gibbsian description of Gaussian random fields, Armen. J. Math. 17 (13), 2025, pp. 1–20
- S. Dachian and B.S. Nahapetian, On Gibbsianness of random fields, Markov Process. Relat. Fields 15 (1), 2009, pp. 81–104
- S. Dachian and B.S. Nahapetian, On the relationship of energy and probability in models of classical statistical physics. Markov Processes Relat. Fields 25 (4), 2019, pp. 649–681

Hamiltonian of a random field

For $\Delta(P, \mathcal{F})$, we have

$$\Delta_{\bar{x}}^{\bar{x}}(x, u) = H_{\bar{x}}^{\bar{x}}(u) - H_{\bar{x}}^{\bar{x}}(x), \quad x, u \in X^V.$$

$H(P) = \{H_{\bar{x}}^{\bar{x}}, \bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}_V, V \in W\}$ is a *Hamiltonian corresponding to the random field P* .

Corollary. *Let conditional distributions $G(P)$ of the random field P be positive. Then they have a Gibbs form*

$$g_{\bar{x}}^{\bar{x}}(x) = \frac{\exp\{-H_{\bar{x}}^{\bar{x}}(x)\}}{\sum_{\alpha \in X^V} \exp\{-H_{\bar{x}}^{\bar{x}}(\alpha)\}},$$

$x \in X^V$, $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}_V$, $V \in W$, where $H(P) = \{H_{\bar{x}}^{\bar{x}}, \bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}_V, V \in W\}$ is a *Hamiltonian corresponding to the random field P* .

The elements of $H(P)$ are consistent in the following sense:

$$H_{\bar{x}}^{\bar{x}}(xy) + H_{\bar{x}}^{\bar{x}}(u) = H_{\bar{x}}^{\bar{x}}(uy) + H_{\bar{x}}^{\bar{x}}(x)$$

$$V, I \in W, V \cap I = \emptyset, \bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}_{V \cup I}, x, u \in X^V, y, v \in X^I.$$