

GENERALIZED LITTLEWOOD PROBLEM

K. L. Avetisyan

Izvestiya Natsionalnoi Akademii Nauk Armenii. Matematika,
Vol. 40, No. 3, 2005

A new family of Littlewood–Paley type g -functions is defined and the related L^p -inequalities are proved for n -harmonic and holomorphic functions on the unit polydisc of \mathbb{C}^n . The paper generalizes and improves the results of author's recent work, that gave a positive answer to Littlewood's question on extension of L^p -inequalities to the case of several complex variables.

§1. INTRODUCTION

We write $U^n = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_j| < 1, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ for the unit polydisc in \mathbb{C}^n and $T^n = \{w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |w_j| = 1, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ for the distinguished boundary of U^n (i.e. an n -dimensional torus). In U^n we consider n -harmonic functions, i.e. functions harmonic in each variable z_j separately.

The g -function of Littlewood and Paley [1] is defined by

$$g(f)(\vartheta) = \left(\int_0^1 (1-r) |f'(re^{i\vartheta})|^2 dr \right)^{1/2}, \quad \vartheta \in (-\pi, \pi), \quad (1)$$

where $f(z)$ is a holomorphic function in the unit disc U^1 . One of the first results on this function is the equivalence of norms $\|g(f)\|_{L^p}$ and $\|f\|_{L^p}$ on the unit circle for $p > 1$ (see [1] and [2], Chapter XIV). A similar result in the upper half-space of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is established by Stein [3] (Chapter IV).

Different authors, in particular Flett [4], extended the concept of a g -function in the unit disc using fractional derivatives and gave applications in some theorems on multipliers. Littlewood [5]

©2006 by Allerton Press Inc. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by Allerton Press, Inc. for library and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transaction Reporting Service, providing that the base fee of \$50.00 per copy is paid directly to CCC, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923.

(p. 43, Problem 28) posed the conjecture about validity of L^p -estimates for the g -function in case of two complex variables and spoke about eschewing the “flat” complex methods.

In author’s work [6], some Littlewood–Paley type g -functions have been defined and the related L^p -estimates for n -harmonic functions in the polydisc established by the use of Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives D^α . This gave a positive answer to the mentioned Littlewood problem [5]. In [6] some L^p -estimates containing D^α derivatives were proved only for small or integer values of α . This diminished the applicability of the L^p -estimates of [6].

This paper constructs a new family of Littlewood–Paley type g -functions, using Hadamard’s \mathcal{F}^α and the Riemann–Liouville \mathcal{D}^α fractional derivatives. The corresponding L^p -estimates for arbitrary values of the multiindex $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ are proved in the polydisc for n -harmonic functions with $p > 1$ and for holomorphic functions with $p > 0$. Some new applications of the L^p -estimates are given.

§2. NOTATION AND THE STATEMENTS OF MAIN THEOREMS

We set

$$I^n = [0, 1]^n, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad r \in I^n, \quad dr = dr_1 \cdots dr_n, \quad r\zeta = (r_1\zeta_1, \dots, r_n\zeta_n)$$

and by \mathbb{Z}_+^n we denote the set of all multiindices $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ possessing nonnegative integer coordinates $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Besides, assuming that $q \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ we set

$$(1-r)^\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^n (1-r_j)^{\alpha_j}, \quad r^\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^n r_j^{\alpha_j}, \quad \Gamma(\alpha) = \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(\alpha_j),$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)^m = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r_1}\right)^{m_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r_n}\right)^{m_n}, \quad \alpha q + 1 = (\alpha_1 q + 1, \dots, \alpha_n q + 1).$$

For a function $f(z) = f(rw)$ ($r \in I^n$, $w \in T^n$) defined in U^n , by $\mathcal{F}^\alpha \equiv \mathcal{F}_r^\alpha$ we denote Hadamard’s operator of fractional integro-differentiation by the variable $r \in I^n$:

$$\mathcal{F}^{-\alpha} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\log \frac{1}{\eta_j}\right)^{\alpha_j-1} f(\eta z) d\eta,$$

$$\mathcal{F}^m f(z) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \cdot r\right)^m f(z), \quad \mathcal{F}^\alpha f(z) = \mathcal{F}^{-(m-\alpha)} \mathcal{F}^m f(z),$$

where $\alpha_j > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $m_j - 1 < \alpha_j \leq m_j$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Note that the properties and some equivalent definitions of the one dimensional operator \mathcal{F}^α are given, for instance, in [7] and [4].

If a function $u(z)$ is n -harmonic (holomorphic), then the function $\mathcal{F}^\alpha u(z)$ ($\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$) is of the same type; besides, for any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$,

$$\mathcal{F}^\alpha f = \mathcal{F}_{r_1}^{\alpha_1} \mathcal{F}_{r_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots \mathcal{F}_{r_n}^{\alpha_n} f, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{r_j}^{\alpha_j}$ stands for the same operator applied by the variable r_j .

Henceforth, by $C(\alpha, \beta, \dots), c_\alpha$ etc. we mean positive constants depending on the parameters α, β etc. For any p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) by p' we denote the conjugate index, i.e. $p' = p/(p-1)$, and all inequalities $A \leq B$ in the statements of theorems mean that if B is finite, then A is finite too and $A \leq B$.

For a function $f(z)$ defined in U^n and for any values of the parameters $\alpha_j > 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) and $0 < q \leq \infty$, define the Littlewood–Paley g -function as follows (compare with [4], [6]):

$$\mathcal{G}_{q,\alpha}(f)(w) = \left(\int_{I^n} (1-r)^{\alpha q - 1} |\mathcal{F}^\alpha f(rw)|^q dr \right)^{1/q}, \quad 0 < q < \infty,$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\alpha}(f)(w) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in I^n} (1-r)^\alpha |\mathcal{F}^\alpha f(rw)|.$$

For $q = 2$ and $\alpha = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ the function $\mathcal{G}_{q,\alpha}(f)$ corresponds to the classical g -function (1). The following theorems are the main result of the present article.

Theorem 1. *Let $\alpha_j > 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), $1 < p < \infty$ and $2 \leq q < \infty$ be arbitrary and $u(z)$ be the Poisson integral of some $f \in L^p(T^n)$. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{q,\alpha}(u)\|_{L^p} \leq C(p, q, \alpha, n) \|f\|_{L^p}. \quad (3)$$

If $u(z)$ is holomorphic in U^n , then for any $p > 0$

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{q,\alpha}(u)\|_{L^p} \leq C(p, q, \alpha, n) \|u\|_{H^p}, \quad (4)$$

where H^p is Hardy's holomorphic class in the polydisc.

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha_j > 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq 2$ be arbitrary and $u(z)$ be an n -harmonic function in U^n , such that $\mathcal{G}_{q,\alpha}(u) \in L^p(T^n)$. Then $u(z)$ is the Poisson integral of its boundary function $f \in L^p(T^n)$, and

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq C(p, q, \alpha, n) \|\mathcal{G}_{q,\alpha}(u)\|_{L^p}.$$

We give also some analogs of Theorems 1 and 2, using the Riemann–Liouville fractional integro-differentiation:

$$D^{-\alpha} f(z) = \frac{r^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{I^n} (1-\eta)^{\alpha-1} f(\eta z) d\eta, \quad D^\alpha f(z) = D^{-(m-\alpha)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^m f(z),$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{-\alpha} f(z) = r^{-\alpha} D^{-\alpha} f(z), \quad \mathcal{D}^\alpha f(z) = D^\alpha \{r^\alpha f(z)\}, \quad z = rw \in U^n,$$

where $\alpha_j > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $m_j - 1 < \alpha_j \leq m_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Eventually, the corresponding Littlewood–Paley type g -function will take the form

$$g_{q,\alpha}(f)(w) = \left(\int_{I^n} (1-r)^{\alpha q-1} |\mathcal{D}^\alpha f(rw)|^q dr \right)^{1/q}, \quad 0 < q < \infty,$$

$$g_{\infty,\alpha}(f)(w) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in I^n} (1-r)^\alpha |\mathcal{D}^\alpha f(rw)|.$$

Theorem 3. Let $\alpha_j > 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), $1 < p < \infty$ and $2 \leq q < \infty$ be arbitrary and $u(z)$ be the Poisson integral of a function $f \in L^p(T^n)$. Then

$$\|g_{q,\alpha}(u)\|_{L^p} \leq C(p, q, \alpha, n) \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

If $u(z)$ is holomorphic in U^n , then for any $p > 0$

$$\|g_{q,\alpha}(u)\|_{L^p} \leq C(p, q, \alpha, n) \|u\|_{H^p}.$$

Theorem 4. Let $\alpha_j > 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq 2$ be arbitrary and $u(z)$ be an n -harmonic function in U^n , such that $g_{q,\alpha}(u) \in L^p(T^n)$. Then $u(z)$ is the Poisson integral of its boundary function $f \in L^p(T^n)$, and

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq C(p, q, \alpha, n) \|g_{q,\alpha}(u)\|_{L^p}.$$

Note that for functions holomorphic in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , the analogs of the above Theorems 1 – 4 are given in [8]. In spite of similarity of Theorems 1-2 and 3-4, there are essential differences in their proofs (for Hadamard's operator a semigroup formula $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha+\beta} = \mathcal{F}^\alpha \mathcal{F}^\beta$ holds, that has no analog for the Riemann–Liouville operator \mathcal{D}^α).

As applications of Theorems 1 – 4, we give the following embedding theorems, where

$$M_p(f; r) = \|f(r \cdot)\|_{L^p(T^n; dm_n)}, \quad r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in I^n,$$

and dm_n is the Lebesgue measure in T^n , while \mathcal{J}^α stands for \mathcal{F}^α as well as for \mathcal{D}^α .

Theorem 5. *Let $\alpha_j > 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), $1 < p \leq q \leq \infty$, $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $p \leq p_0 \leq \infty$ be arbitrary. Then*

$$\left(\int_{I^n} (1-r)^{\alpha q - 1} M_p^q(\mathcal{J}^\alpha u; r) dr \right)^{1/q} \leq C \|u\|_{h^p}, \quad (5)$$

$$\left(\int_{I^n} (1-r)^{(\alpha+1/p-1/p_0)q-1} M_{p_0}^q(\mathcal{J}^\alpha u; r) dr \right)^{1/q} \leq C \|u\|_{h^p}. \quad (6)$$

If $u \in H^p$ is a holomorphic function, then the inequalities (5) and (6) are true for all $p > 0$.

Theorem 6. *Let $\alpha_j > 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq 2$ and $q \leq p$ be arbitrary. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{J}^{-\alpha} u\|_{h^p} \leq C \left(\int_{I^n} (1-r)^{\alpha q - 1} M_p^q(u; r) dr \right)^{1/q}.$$

§3. AUXILIARY STATEMENTS

Recall that the Poisson kernel for the polydisc U^n is given by the formula

$$P(z, \zeta) = \prod_{j=1}^n P(z_j, \zeta_j) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1 - |z_j|^2}{|\zeta_j - z_j|^2}, \quad z \in U^n, \quad \zeta \in T^n.$$

Lemma 1. *If $\alpha_j \geq 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), then*

$$|\mathcal{F}^\alpha P(z, \zeta)| \leq C(\alpha, n) \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{|\zeta_j - z_j|^{\alpha_j + 1}}, \quad z \in U^n, \quad \zeta \in T^n.$$

Proof: by direct estimation.

Lemma 2. Let $f(z)$ be an n -harmonic function in U^n and $0 < p, q \leq \infty$, $\alpha_j > 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) be arbitrary.

Then

$$|\mathcal{F}^\alpha f(z)| \leq C(p, q, \alpha, n) \|\mathcal{G}_{q, \alpha}(f)\|_{L^p} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - |z_j|)^{\alpha_j + 1/p}}, \quad z \in U^n.$$

Proof: is standard, based on Hölder's inequality and the n -subharmonic behaviour of $|\mathcal{F}^\alpha f|^p$ ($p > 0$).

Lemma 3. Let $f(z)$ be n -harmonic in U^n and $1 \leq q < \infty$, $\alpha_j, \beta_j > 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) be arbitrary. Then

$$\mathcal{G}_{q, \beta}(f)(w) \leq C(\alpha, \beta, q, n) \mathcal{G}_{q, \beta + \alpha}(f)(w), \quad w \in T^n.$$

Proof: by applying n times the one-dimensional version of the same inequality (see [4]).

For any fixed δ ($0 < \delta < 1$) and $\zeta = e^{i\vartheta} \in T^1$ we consider the standard domain $\Gamma_\delta(\zeta) \equiv \Gamma_\delta(\vartheta)$ in the unit disc U^1 , bounded by two tangents to the circle $|z| = \delta$, containing the point $\zeta = e^{i\vartheta}$, and the largest arc of $|z| = \delta$. For any fixed δ_j , $0 < \delta_j < 1$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) and $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in T^n$ we define $\Gamma_\delta(\zeta) = \Gamma_{\delta_1}(\zeta_1) \times \dots \times \Gamma_{\delta_n}(\zeta_n)$.

Lemma 4. Let $\alpha_j > 0$, $\delta_j > 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ be arbitrary and $f(z)$ be an n -harmonic function in U^n . Then the non-tangential maximal function of $f(z)$, that is

$$f_\delta^*(\zeta) = \sup\{|f(z)|; z \in \Gamma_\delta(\zeta)\}, \quad \zeta \in T^n$$

admits the estimate

$$|\mathcal{F}^\alpha f(rw)| \leq C(\alpha, \delta, n) \frac{f_\delta^*(w)}{(1 - r)^\alpha}, \quad z = rw \in U^n.$$

Proof: Denote by $B = B_z$ the polydisc centered at z , with the radius $(\delta_1(1 - r_1)/2, \dots, \delta_n(1 - r_n)/2)$. Then for any point $z = rw \in U^n$ we have $B \subset \Gamma_\delta(w)$. We get the desired inequality differentiating the Poisson representation of f in B by means of \mathcal{F}^α and using the well-known estimates of the Poisson kernel.

§4. PROOFS OF MAIN THEOREMS

Assuming that $u(z)$ is n -harmonic in U^n , we introduce the following version of Lusin's area integral:

$$S_\delta(u)(\zeta) = \left(\int_{\Gamma_\delta(\zeta)} |\mathcal{F}^1 u(z)|^2 dm_{2n}(z) \right)^{1/2}, \quad \zeta \in T^n,$$

where m_{2n} is Lebesgue's measure in U^n . The following lemma was proved by Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [2] (Chapter XIV, Th. 3.1) in the particular case of the unit disc, for $k = 1$ and the classical g -function (1).

Lemma 5. *Let $u(z)$ be an n -harmonic function in U^n , $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ ($k_j \geq 1$) and $0 < \delta_j < 1$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$). Then*

$$\mathcal{G}_{2,k}(u)(\zeta) \leq C(n, k, \delta) S_\delta(u)(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in T^n.$$

Proof: First, we give a proof for the case $n = 1$, i.e. for the unit disc U^1 . To this end, observe that if $z = re^{i\vartheta} \in U^1$ is fixed, then from the Poisson formula in the disc

$$B = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} : |\xi - z| < \delta(1-r)/2\} \subset \Gamma_\delta(e^{i\vartheta}) \equiv \Gamma_\delta(\vartheta)$$

it follows that

$$|\mathcal{F}^k u(re^{i\vartheta})|^2 \leq \frac{C(k)}{|B|(1-r)^{2(k-1)}} \iint_B |\mathcal{F}^1 u(\rho e^{it})|^2 \rho \, d\rho \, dt,$$

where $|B|$ is the area of B . Clearly

$$C'_\delta(1-r) < 1 - \rho < C''_\delta(1-r), \quad \rho e^{it} \in B.$$

Consequently

$$(1-r)^{2k-1} |\mathcal{F}^k u(re^{i\vartheta})|^2 \leq C(k, \delta) \iint_B |\mathcal{F}^1 u(\rho e^{it})|^2 \frac{\rho \, d\rho \, dt}{1-\rho}. \quad (7)$$

Now if $0 \leq r \leq \delta/(2+\delta)$, then we extend the integration domain in (7) to become

$$E \equiv E(r, \delta) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} : |\xi| < r_2 \equiv r + \delta(1-r)/2\}$$

and observe that since $E(r, \delta) \subset \Gamma_\delta(\vartheta)$,

$$(1-r)^{2k-1} |\mathcal{F}^k u(re^{i\vartheta})|^2 \leq C(k, \delta) \iint_{\Gamma_\delta(\vartheta)} \mathcal{X}_{(0,r_2)}(\rho) |\mathcal{F}^1 u(\rho e^{it})|^2 \frac{\rho \, d\rho \, dt}{1-\rho},$$

where $\mathcal{X}_{(0,r_2)}$ stands for the characteristic function of the interval $(0, r_2)$. Integrating by r and estimating the inner integral, we get

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{2k-1} |\mathcal{F}^k u(re^{i\vartheta})|^2 \, dr \leq C(k, \delta) \iint_{\Gamma_\delta(\vartheta)} \left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{X}_{(0,r_2)}(\rho) \, dr \right) |\mathcal{F}^1 u(\rho e^{it})|^2 \frac{\rho \, d\rho \, dt}{1-\rho} \leq$$

$$\leq C(k, \delta) \iint_{\Gamma_\delta(\vartheta)} |\mathcal{F}^1 u(\rho e^{it})|^2 \rho \, d\rho \, dt.$$

If $\delta/(2 + \delta) < r < 1$, then we extend the integration domain in (7) to a ring sector, whose sides are tangent to the disc B , i.e.

$$(1 - r)^{2k-1} |\mathcal{F}^k u(\rho e^{i\vartheta})|^2 \leq C(k, \delta) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \int_{\vartheta_1}^{\vartheta_2} |\mathcal{F}^1 u(\rho e^{it})|^2 \frac{\rho \, d\rho \, dt}{1 - \rho}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$r_{1,2} = r \mp \frac{\delta(1 - r)}{2}, \quad \vartheta_{1,2} = \vartheta \mp \arcsin \frac{\delta(1 - r)}{2r}.$$

Then, integrating (8) by r we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (1 - r)^{2k-1} |\mathcal{F}^k u(\rho e^{i\vartheta})|^2 \, dr \leq \\ & \leq C(k, \delta) \int_0^1 \int_{-\pi}^\pi \left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{X}_{(r_1, r_2)}(\rho) \mathcal{X}_{(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)}(t) \, dr \right) |\mathcal{F}^1 u(\rho e^{it})|^2 \frac{\rho \, d\rho \, dt}{1 - \rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

To get a suitable estimate of the inner integral, we observe that

$$\mathcal{X}_{(r_1, r_2)}(\rho) \mathcal{X}_{(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r_1 < \rho < r_2, \vartheta_1 < t < \vartheta_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The requirement $r_1 < \rho < r_2$ is equivalent to $\rho_1 < r < \rho_2$, where $\rho_{1,2} = (\rho \mp \delta/2)/(1 \mp \delta/2)$. Besides, $\vartheta_1 < t < \vartheta_2$ is equivalent to

$$r < r_0 \equiv \frac{1}{1 + (2/\delta) \sin |t - \vartheta|}.$$

Consequently

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{X}_{(r_1, r_2)}(\rho) \mathcal{X}_{(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)}(t) \, dr = \int_{\rho_1}^{\rho_2} \mathcal{X}_{(0, r_0)}(r) \, dr \leq \begin{cases} \rho_2 - \rho_1 & \text{if } \rho_1 < r_0 < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 < r_0 \leq \rho_1. \end{cases}$$

Inserting $G_\delta = \{\xi = \rho e^{it} \in U^1 : \rho_1 < r_0\}$ and $\rho_2 - \rho_1 = C_\delta(1 - \rho)$ in (9) yields

$$\int_0^1 (1 - r)^{2k-1} |\mathcal{F}^k u(\rho e^{i\vartheta})|^2 \, dr \leq C(k, \delta) \iint_{G_\delta} |\mathcal{F}^1 u(\rho e^{it})|^2 \rho \, d\rho \, dt. \quad (10)$$

It remains to prove the inclusion $G_\delta \subset \Gamma_\delta(\vartheta)$. To this end, suppose $\xi = \rho e^{it} \in G_\delta$ and $\delta \leq \rho < 1$. Then the set $\Gamma_\delta(\vartheta) \setminus \{|\xi| < \delta\}$ is described by the following three conditions:

$$\delta \leq \rho < 1, \quad |t| = |\arg \xi| < \arccos \delta, \quad |\arg(1 - \xi)| < \arcsin \delta. \quad (11)$$

At the same time, the set G_δ is defined by the condition $\rho_1 < r_0$ or

$$\sin |t| < \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{1-\rho}{\rho-\delta/2}.$$

The last two conditions of (11) follow from the obvious estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \sin |t| &< \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{1-\rho}{\rho-\delta/2} \leq 1-\delta < \sqrt{1-\delta^2}, \\ \sin |t| &< \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{1-\rho}{\rho-\delta/2} \leq \frac{\delta}{\rho} \sqrt{1-2\rho \cos t + \rho^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and the inclusion $G_\delta \subset \Gamma_\delta(\vartheta)$ is proved. Thus, (10) implies the desired inequality for $n = 1$:

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{2k-1} |\mathcal{F}^k u(re^{i\vartheta})|^2 dr \leq C(k, \delta) \iint_{\Gamma_\delta(\vartheta)} |\mathcal{F}^1 u(\xi)|^2 dm_2(\xi). \quad (12)$$

For $n > 1$, the proof follows by applying (12) n times and using the expansion (2).

Proof of Theorem 1. First, suppose that $\alpha_j \geq 1$. By Lemma 1,

$$|\mathcal{F}^\alpha u(z)| \leq \int_{T^n} |\mathcal{F}^\alpha P(z, \zeta)| |f(\zeta)| dm_n(\zeta) \leq \frac{C(\alpha, n)}{(1-|z|)^\alpha} \int_{T^n} P(z, \zeta) |f(\zeta)| dm_n(\zeta),$$

hence a pointwise estimate by the maximal function u_δ^* follows:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\infty, \alpha}(u)(w) \leq C(\alpha, n) \sup_{r \in I^n} \int_{T^n} P(rw, \zeta) |f(\zeta)| dm_n(\zeta) \leq C(\alpha, n) u_\delta^*(w), \quad w \in T^n,$$

where $0 < \delta_j < 1$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) are some numbers. Assuming that $u(z)$ is of Hardy's holomorphic class H^p or of the n -harmonic class h^p ($1 < p < \infty$) and using Zygmund's maximal function [9]

$$\|u_\delta^*\|_{L^p} \leq C \|u\|_{H^p} \quad (13)$$

we get

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{\infty, \alpha}(u)\|_{L^p} \leq C \|u\|_{H^p}. \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, Lemma 5 and (13) together with the Gundy–Stein theorem [10] on equivalence of the L^p -norms of the functions $S_\delta(u)$ and u_δ^* yield

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{2, \alpha}(u)\|_{L^p} \leq C \|S_\delta(u)\|_{L^p} \leq C \|u_\delta^*\|_{L^p} \leq C \|u\|_{H^p}. \quad (15)$$

By a version of the Riesz–Thorin interpolation theorem for the quasi-normed spaces (see [11], p. 316 and [12]) the inequalities (14) and (15) imply (3) and (4) for all q ($2 \leq q \leq \infty$) and $\alpha_j \geq 1$.

In the general case $m_j - 1 < \alpha_j \leq m_j$, $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) we represent the derivative \mathcal{F}^α in the form $\mathcal{F}^\alpha u = \mathcal{F}^{-(m-\alpha)} \mathcal{F}^m u$. By virtue of Lemma 3, this permits to reduce the estimation to the above considered case of integer $\alpha_j \geq 1$. Integrating $|\mathcal{F}^\alpha u| \leq \mathcal{F}^{-(m-\alpha)} |\mathcal{F}^m u|$ in the degree q over $(0, 1)$, by the mesure $(1-r)^{\alpha q-1} dr$, and then integrating the degree p of the result over the circle T^1 , we come to (3) – (4).

Proof of Theorem 2. In view of Lemma 3, it suffices to give a proof only for $0 < \alpha_j < 1$. First suppose $1 < q \leq 2$; for any fixed $r \in I^n$ consider the linear functional, generated over $L^{p'}(T^n)$ by $u(z)$:

$$F_u(v) = \int_{T^n} u(rw) v(w) dm_n(w), \quad v(w) \in L^{p'}(T^n).$$

Let $v(rw)$ be the Poisson integral of the function $v(w)$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ be a small positive multiindex, such that $0 < \alpha_j + \gamma_j < 1$. Then using the identities $\mathcal{F}_r^\alpha u(\eta rw) = \mathcal{F}_\eta^\alpha u(\eta rw)$ and $\mathcal{F}_r^{-\alpha-\gamma} \mathcal{F}_r^{\alpha+\gamma} u = u$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_u(v) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + \gamma)} \int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\log \frac{1}{\eta_j} \right)^{\alpha_j + \gamma_j - 1} \left[\int_{T^n} v(w) \mathcal{F}_\eta^\alpha \mathcal{F}_r^\gamma u(\eta rw) dm_n(w) \right] d\eta = \\ &= C \int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\log \frac{1}{\eta_j} \right)^{\alpha_j + \gamma_j - 1} \left[\int_{T^n} \mathcal{F}_\eta^\alpha u(\sqrt{\eta} \zeta) \mathcal{F}_r^\gamma v(\sqrt{\eta} r \zeta) dm_n(\zeta) \right] d\eta = \\ &= C \int_{T^n} \left[\int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\log \frac{1}{\eta_j} \right)^{\alpha_j + \gamma_j - 1} \mathcal{F}_\eta^\alpha u(\sqrt{\eta} \zeta) \mathcal{F}_r^\gamma v(\sqrt{\eta} r \zeta) d\eta \right] dm_n(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Further, denoting

$$h_{q', \gamma}(r\zeta) = \left(\int_{I^n} (1-\eta)^{\gamma q' - 1} |\mathcal{F}_r^\gamma v(\sqrt{\eta} r \zeta)|^{q'} d\eta \right)^{1/q'},$$

by a repeated application of Hölder's inequality and Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |F_u(v)| &\leq C \int_{T^n} \mathcal{G}_{q, \alpha}(u)(\zeta) h_{q', \gamma}(r\zeta) dm_n(\zeta) \leq \\ &\leq C \|\mathcal{G}_{q, \alpha}(u)\|_{L^p} \|h_{q', \gamma}(r\zeta)\|_{L^{p'}(T^n)} \leq C(p, q, \alpha, \gamma, n) \|\mathcal{G}_{q, \alpha}(u)\|_{L^p} \|v\|_{L^{p'}(T^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the duality $(L^{p'})^* = L^p$,

$$\|u(rw)\|_{L^p(T^n)} = \sup\{|F_u(v)|; \|v\|_{L^{p'}} = 1\} \leq C \|\mathcal{G}_{q, \alpha}(u)\|_{L^p}.$$

Now suppose $0 < q < 1$ (for $q = 1$ our assertion is obvious). Then by Lemma 4

$$\begin{aligned} |u(rw)| &\leq \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(\alpha_j)} \int_{I^n} (1-\eta)^{\alpha-1} |\mathcal{F}^\alpha u(\eta rw)| d\eta \leq \\ &\leq C(\alpha, \delta, n) (u_\delta^*(w))^{1-q} \int_{I^n} (1-\eta)^{\alpha q-1} |\mathcal{F}^\alpha u(\eta rw)|^q d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_\delta^*(w)$ is the nontangential maximal function. Further, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\|u(rw)\|_{L^p(T^n)}^p \leq C \|u_\delta^*\|_{L^p}^{p(1-q)} \|\mathcal{G}_{q,\alpha}(u)\|_{L^p}^{pq}.$$

Consequently, by (13)

$$\|f\|_{L^p} = \|u(rw)\|_{L^p(T^n)} \leq C \|u_\delta^*\|_{L^p}^{1-q} \|\mathcal{G}_{q,\alpha}(u)\|_{L^p}^q \leq C \|f\|_{L^p}^{1-q} \|\mathcal{G}_{q,\alpha}(u)\|_{L^p}^q.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Corollary. Let $\alpha_j > 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq 2$ be any numbers and $u(z)$ be an n -harmonic function in U^n . Then

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-\alpha}|u|\|_{h^p} \leq C(p, q, \alpha, n) \left\| \|(1-r)^\alpha u\|_{L^q(dr/(1-r))} \right\|_{L^p(T^n)}. \quad (16)$$

The proof of this assertion is similar to Proof of Theorem 2 with

$$\Phi_u(v) = \int_{T^n} \mathcal{F}^{-\alpha}|u(rw)| v(w) dm_n(w), \quad v(w) \in L^{p'}(T^n),$$

replacing the functional F_u .

Now, we briefly outline the proofs of Theorems 3 – 6.

Proof of Theorem 3: Let an n -harmonic (or holomorphic) function $u(z)$ belong to h^p (or H^p). Given the numbers $\alpha_j > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ ($m_j - 1 < \alpha_j \leq m_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$), for each $j \in [1, n]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{r_j}^{\alpha_j} u &= D_{r_j}^{\alpha_j} \{r_j^{\alpha_j} u\} = D_{r_j}^{-(m_j-\alpha_j)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r_j} \right)^{m_j} \{r_j^{\alpha_j} u\} = \\ &= r_j^{m_j-\alpha_j} \mathcal{D}_{r_j}^{-(m_j-\alpha_j)} \left\{ r_j^{\alpha_j} \frac{\partial^{m_j} u}{\partial r_j^{m_j}} + \text{L.O.T. (low order terms)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The highest term of this sum can be written in the form

$$r_j^{m_j} \mathcal{D}_{r_j}^{-(m_j - \alpha_j)} D_{r_j}^{m_j} u = r_j^{\alpha_j} D_{r_j}^{\alpha_j} u.$$

Hence the estimate established in [6]:

$$\left\| \left\| (1-r)^{\alpha} r^{\alpha} D^{\alpha} u \right\|_{L^q(dr/(1-r))} \right\|_{L^p(T^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{h^p}.$$

Proof of Theorem 4: It suffices to recall the definition of the fractional integrals and to use the inequality (16):

$$\|\mathcal{D}^{-\alpha} u\|_{h^p} \leq C \|\mathcal{F}^{-\alpha} |u|\|_{h^p} \leq C \left\| \left\| (1-r)^{\alpha} u \right\|_{L^q(dr/(1-r))} \right\|_{L^p(T^n)}.$$

Proof of Theorem 5: According to Minkowski's integral inequality and Theorems 1 and 3,

$$\left\| (1-r)^{\alpha} M_p(\mathcal{J}^{\alpha} u; r) \right\|_{L^q(dr/(1-r))} \leq \left\| \left\| (1-r)^{\alpha} \mathcal{J}^{\alpha} u \right\|_{L^q(dr/(1-r))} \right\|_{L^p(T^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{h^p}.$$

Now the inequality (6) follows from (5) and by the inclusion (see [13])

$$\left\| (1-r)^{\alpha+1/p-1/p_0} M_{p_0}(f; r) \right\|_{L^q(dr/(1-r))} \leq C \left\| (1-r)^{\alpha} M_p(f; r) \right\|_{L^q(dr/(1-r))}.$$

Proof of Theorem 6: By Minkowski's inequality and Theorems 2 and 4,

$$\|\mathcal{J}^{-\alpha} u\|_{h^p} \leq C \left\| \left\| (1-r)^{\alpha} u \right\|_{L^q(dr/(1-r))} \right\|_{L^p(T^n)} \leq C \left\| (1-r)^{\alpha} M_p(u; r) \right\|_{L^q(dr/(1-r))}.$$

REFERENCES

1. J. E. Littlewood, R. E. A. C. Paley, "Theorems on Fourier series and power series (I)", J. London Math. Soc., vol. 6, pp. 230 – 233, 1931; (II), Proc. London Math. Soc., Ser. 2, vol. 42, pp. 52 – 89, 1936.
2. A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, Vol. 2, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959.
3. E. M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton Univ. Press, New Jersey, 1970.
4. T. M. Flett, "Mean values of power series", Pacific J. Math., vol. 25, pp. 463 – 494, 1968.
5. J. E. Littlewood, Some Problems in Real and Complex Analysis, Raytheon Education, Massachusetts, 1968.
6. K. L. Avetisyan, "Littlewood–Paley type inequalities for n -harmonic functions in the polydisc" [in Russian], Mat. Zametki, vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 483 – 492, 2004.
7. S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Marichev, Integrals and Derivatives of Fractional Order and Some of Their Applications [in Russian], Nauka i Tekhnika, Minsk, 1987.
8. J. M. Ortega, J. Fàbrega, "Holomorphic Triebel-Lizorkin spaces", J. Funct. Anal., vol. 151, pp. 177 – 212, 1997.

9. A. Zygmund, "On the boundary values of functions of several complex variables (I)", Fund. Math., vol. 36, pp. 207 – 235, 1949.
10. R. Gundy, E. M. Stein, " H^p theory for the poly-disc", Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, vol. 76, No. 3, pp. 1026 – 1029, 1979.
11. A. Benedek, R. Panzone, "The spaces L^p with mixed norm", Duke Math. J., vol. 28, pp. 301 – 324, 1961.
12. T. Holmstedt, "Interpolation of quasi-normed spaces", Math. Scand., vol. 26, pp. 177 – 199, 1970.
13. K. L. Avetisyan, "Continuous inclusions and Bergman type operators in n -harmonic mixed norm spaces on the polydisc", J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 291, no. 2, pp. 727 – 740, 2004.

29 May

Yerevan State University
E-mail: avetkaren@ysu.am