

# On Exponential Summability of Rectangular Partial Sums of Double Trigonometric Fourier Series

U. Goginava<sup>1\*</sup> and G. Karagulyan<sup>2\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Iv. Javakhsvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, 0179 Georgia*

<sup>2</sup>*Yerevan State University, Yerevan, 0025 Armenia*

Received March 28, 2017

**Abstract**—In this paper, we study the a.e. exponential strong summability problem for the rectangular partial sums of double trigonometric Fourier series of functions in  $L \log L$ .

**DOI:** 10.1134/S0001434618110056

**Keywords:** *double Fourier series, strong summability, exponential means.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We denote the set of all nonnegative integers by  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathbb{T} := [-\pi, \pi) = \mathbb{R}/2\pi$ , and let  $\mathbb{R} := (-\infty, \infty)$ . By  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$  we denote the class of all  $2\pi$ -periodic measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying the condition

$$\|f\|_1 := \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f| < \infty.$$

The Fourier series of a function  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  with respect to the trigonometric system is

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$c_n := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) e^{-inx} dx$$

are the Fourier coefficients of  $f$ . Let  $S_n(x, f)$  denote the partial sums of the Fourier series of  $f$ , and let

$$\sigma_n(x, f) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n S_k(x, f)$$

be the  $(C, 1)$  means of (1). Fejér [1] proved that  $\sigma_n(f)$  converges to  $f$  uniformly for any  $2\pi$ -periodic continuous function. Lebesgue [2] established the almost everywhere convergence of  $(C, 1)$  means, provided that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ . The strong summability problem, i.e., the convergence of the strong means

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |S_k(x, f) - f(x)|^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}, \quad p > 0, \quad (2)$$

was first considered by Hardy and Littlewood in [3]. They showed that, for any  $f \in L^r(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $1 < r < \infty$ , the strong means tend to 0 a.e. as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The trigonometric Fourier series of  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  is said to be  $(H, p)$ -summable at  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  if the values (2) converge to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The  $(H, p)$ -summability problem

\*E-mail: zzagoginava@gmail.com

\*\*E-mail: g.karagulyan@gmail.com

in  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$  was investigated by Marcinkiewicz [4] for  $p = 2$  and later by Zygmund [5] for the general case  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

Let  $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , and let  $\Phi(0) = 0$  be a continuous increasing function. We say that a series with partial sums  $s_n$  is strongly  $\Phi$ -summable to a limit  $s$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi(|s_k - s|) = 0.$$

In [6], Oskolkov first considered the a.e. strong  $\Phi$ -summability problem of Fourier series with exponentially growing  $\Phi$ . Namely, he proved the a.e. strong  $\Phi$ -summability of Fourier series under the assumption

$$\ln \Phi(t) = O\left(\frac{t}{\ln \ln t}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

In [7], Rodin proved the following theorem.

**Theorem (Rodin).** *If a continuous function  $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $\Phi(0) = 0$ , satisfies the condition*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \Phi(t)}{t} < \infty,$$

*then, for any  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , the relation*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi(|S_k(x, f) - f(x)|) = 0 \quad (3)$$

*holds for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ .*

Karagulyan [8], [9] proved that the exponential growth in Rodin's theorem is optimal. Moreover, he also proved the following assertion.

**Theorem (Karagulyan).** *If a continuous increasing function  $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $\Phi(0) = 0$ , satisfies the condition*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \Phi(t)}{t} = \infty,$$

*then there exists a function  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  for which the relation*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi(|S_k(x, f)|) = \infty$$

*holds everywhere on  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

In this paper, we study the exponential summability problem for the rectangular partial sums of double Fourier series. Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$  be a function with Fourier series

$$\sum_{m, n = -\infty}^{\infty} c_{nm} e^{i(mx + ny)}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$c_{nm} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(x_1, x_2) e^{-i(mx_1 + nx_2)} dx_1 dx_2$$

are the Fourier coefficients of the function  $f$ . The rectangular partial sums of (4) are defined by

$$S_{MN}(f) = S_{MN}(x_1, x_2, f) = \sum_{m=-M}^M \sum_{n=-N}^N c_{nm} e^{i(mx_1 + nx_2)}.$$

We use  $L \log L(\mathbb{T}^2)$  to denote the class of measurable functions  $f$  with

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} |f| \log^+ |f| < \infty,$$

where  $\log^+ u := \mathbb{I}_{(1, \infty)} \log u, u > 0$ . For the rectangular partial sums of two-dimensional trigonometric Fourier series, Jessen, Marcinkiewicz, and Zygmund [10] proved that, given any  $f \in L \log L(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ,

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (S_{ij}(x_1, x_2, f) - f(x_1, x_2)) = 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

for  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ . They also showed that, for every nonnegative function  $\omega: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying the conditions  $\omega(t) \uparrow \infty$  and  $\omega(t)(\log^+ t)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists a function  $f$  such that  $|f|\omega(|f|) \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and the  $(C, 1, 1)$  means of the double Fourier series of  $f$  diverge a.e.

The two-dimensional a.e. strong rectangular  $(H, p)$ -summability, i.e., the relation

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{ij}(x_1, x_2, f) - f(x_1, x_2)|^p = 0 \quad \text{a.e.},$$

was proved by Gogoladze [11] for  $f \in L \log L(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . These results show that, in the two-dimensional case, the optimal classes of functions for  $(C, 1, 1)$ -summability and strong summability coincide. They equal the class of functions  $L \log L(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .

We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *If a continuous increasing function  $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $\Phi(0) = 0$ , satisfies the condition*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \Phi(t)}{\sqrt{t / \ln \ln t}} < \infty, \tag{5}$$

*then, for any  $f \in L \log L(\mathbb{T}^2)$ , the relation*

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \Phi(|S_{ij}(x_1, x_2, f) - f(x_1, x_2)|) = 0 \tag{6}$$

*holds for a.e.  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ .*

As a corollary of this result, we obtain Gogoladze’s theorem [11] on the a.e.  $H^p$ -summability of double Fourier series. The theorem of Jessen, Marcinkiewicz, and Zygmund [10] implies that the class  $L \log L(\mathbb{T}^2)$  in our theorem is necessary in the context of the strong summability question. That is, the theorem is invalid for classes larger than  $L \log L(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . Our method of proof does permit obtaining (6) under the weaker condition

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \Phi(t)}{\sqrt{t}} < \infty. \tag{7}$$

There is a conjecture that (7) is the optimal bound of  $\Phi$  ensuring the a.e. rectangular strong summability (6) for every function  $f \in L \log L(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .

The results on strong summability and approximation by trigonometric Fourier series have been extended for some other orthogonal systems; see the papers [12]–[14] by Schipp, [15]–[18] by Leindler, [19]–[22] by Totik, [23] and [24] by Goginava and Gogoladze, [25] by Goginava, Gogoladze, and Karagulyan, [26] and [27] by Gat, Goginava, and Karagulyan, and [28]–[31] by Weisz.

2. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

We use the notation  $a \lesssim b$  for

$$a < c \cdot b,$$

where  $c > 0$  is an absolute constant. We shall write  $a \sim b$  if the relations  $a \lesssim b$  and  $b \lesssim a$  hold simultaneously. Throughout the paper,  $q > 1$  is used as the conjugate of  $p > 1$ , that is,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . By  $[a]$  we denote the integer part of  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The maximal function of a function  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  is defined by

$$Mf(x) := \sup_{I: x \in I \subset \mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(y)| dy,$$

where  $I$  is an open interval. The following one-dimensional operators introduced by Gabisonia [32] are important tools in the investigations of strong summability problems:

$$G_p^{(n)} f(x) := \left( \sum_{k=1}^{[n\pi]} \left( \frac{n}{k} \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} |f(x+t)| + |f(x-t)| dt \right)^q \right)^{1/q},$$

$$G_p f(x) := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_p^{(n)} f(x).$$

Oskolkov’s lemma cited below plays the key role in the proof of our basic lemma.

**Lemma 1** ([6]). *For any family of pairwise disjoint intervals  $\Delta_k \subset \mathbb{T}$  with midpoints  $c_k$ , the inequality*

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{T} : \sup_{p>1} \frac{\sum_j (|\Delta_j| / (|x - c_j| + |\Delta_j|))^q}{p \ln \ln(p + 2)} > \lambda \right\} \right| \lesssim \exp(-c\lambda), \quad \lambda > 0, \tag{8}$$

holds, where  $c > 0$  is an absolute constant.

One can easily check that

$$\sup_{p>1} \frac{(\sum_j (|\Delta_j| / (|x - c_j| + |\Delta_j|))^q)^{1/q}}{p \ln \ln(p + 2)} \lesssim \left\{ 1, \sup_{p>1} \frac{\sum_j (|\Delta_j| / (|x - c_j| + |\Delta_j|))^q}{p \ln \ln(p + 2)} \right\}.$$

Combining this with (8), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sup_{p>1} \frac{(\sum_j (|\Delta_j| / (|x - c_j| + |\Delta_j|))^q)^{1/q}}{p \ln \ln(p + 2)} \lesssim 1. \tag{9}$$

**Lemma 2.** *If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , then*

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{T} : \sup_{p>1} \frac{G_p f(x)}{p \ln \ln(p + 2)} > \lambda \right\} \right| \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_1 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \lambda > 0. \tag{10}$$

**Proof.** It is enough to prove the same estimate for the modified operators

$$G'_p f(x) := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{[n\pi]} \left( \frac{n}{k} \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} |f(x+t)| dt \right)^q \right)^{1/q}. \tag{11}$$

Using the Calderon–Zygmund lemma, we obtain the following relation for the maximal function:

$$R_\lambda := \{x \in \mathbb{T} : Mf(x) > \sqrt{\lambda}\} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta_k, \quad \lambda > 0, \tag{12}$$

where  $\Delta_k \subset \mathbb{T}$  are disjoint open intervals such that

$$\sqrt{\lambda} \leq \frac{1}{|\Delta_k|} \int_{\Delta_k} |f(t)| dt \leq 2\sqrt{\lambda}, \tag{13}$$

$$|R_\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \|f\|_1. \tag{14}$$

We set  $\delta_k^n := [(k-1)/n, k/n]$  and  $\delta_k^n(x) := x + \delta_k^n$ . Separating out the terms in the sum (11) for which  $k$  satisfies the condition  $\delta_k^n(x) \subset R_\lambda$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G'_p f(x) &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{k: \delta_k^n(x) \subset R_\lambda} \left( \frac{n}{k} \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} |f(x+t)| dt \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\quad + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{k: \delta_k^n(x) \not\subset R_\lambda} \left( \frac{n}{k} \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} |f(x+t)| dt \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &:= \text{I} + \text{II}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

From the definition of  $R_\lambda$  in the case  $\delta_k^n(x) \not\subset R_\lambda$ , it follows that

$$n \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} |f(x+t)| dt \leq \sqrt{\lambda}.$$

Thus,

$$\text{II} \leq \sqrt{\lambda} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^q} \right)^{1/q} \lesssim \sqrt{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{q-1} \right)^{1/q} \lesssim p\sqrt{\lambda}. \tag{16}$$

Given  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ , we set

$$k_i(x) = \begin{cases} \min\{k : \delta_k^n(x) \subset \Delta_i\} & \text{if } \{k : \delta_k^n(x) \subset \Delta_i\} \neq \emptyset, \\ \infty & \text{if } \{k : \delta_k^n(x) \subset \Delta_i\} = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

We also set  $\tilde{R}_\lambda := \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} 3\Delta_k$  and take an arbitrary point  $x \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \tilde{R}_\lambda$ . One can easily check that if  $k_i(x) \neq \infty$ , then

$$\Delta_i \ni \frac{k_i(x)}{n} \sim |x - c_i|,$$

where  $c_i$  is the center of the interval  $\Delta_i$ . Thus, for any  $x \notin \tilde{R}_\lambda$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k: \delta_k^n(x) \subset \Delta_i} \left( \frac{n}{k} \int_{\delta_k^n(x)} |f(t)| dt \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k: \delta_k^n(x) \subset \Delta_i} \frac{n}{k} \int_{\delta_k^n(x)} |f(t)| dt \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n|\Delta_i|}{k_i(x)} \frac{1}{|\Delta_i|} \int_{\Delta_i} |f(t)| dt \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{\lambda} \sup_n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n|\Delta_i|}{k_i(x)} \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{\lambda} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|\Delta_i|}{|x - c_i| + |\Delta_i|} \right)^q \right)^{1/q}, \quad x \notin \tilde{R}_\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Using Chebyshev’s inequality and relations (9), (16), and (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \tilde{R}_\lambda : \sup_{p>1} \frac{G'_p f(x)}{p \ln \ln(p+2)} > \lambda \right\} \right| \\ & \lesssim \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \tilde{R}_\lambda : \sqrt{\lambda} \left( 1 + \sup_{p>1} \frac{(\sum_j (|\Delta_j|/(|x - c_j| + |\Delta_j|))^q)^{1/q}}{p \ln \ln(p+2)} \right) \geq c\lambda \right\} \right| \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sup_{p>1} \frac{(\sum_j (|\Delta_j|/(|x - c_j| + |\Delta_j|))^q)^{1/q}}{p \ln \ln(p+2)} dx \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \end{aligned}$$

for an appropriate absolute constant  $c > 0$ . In view of homogeneity, we have

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \tilde{R}_\lambda : \sup_{p>1} \frac{G'_p f(x)}{p \ln \ln(p+2)} > \lambda \right\} \right| \lesssim \left( \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \lambda > 0. \tag{18}$$

Consequently, (14)–(18) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{T} : \sup_{p>1} \frac{G'_p f(x)}{p \ln \ln(p+2)} > \lambda \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \tilde{R}_\lambda : \sup_{p>1} \frac{G'_p f(x)}{p \ln \ln(p+2)} > \lambda \right\} \right| + |\tilde{R}_\lambda| \lesssim \left( \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{\|f\|_1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}. \end{aligned}$$

Again using homogeneity, we obtain (10). □

We will need the following estimates.

**Lemma 3** ([32]). *If  $p > 1$  and  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , then*

$$\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |S_j(x, f)|^p \right)^{1/p} \lesssim G_p^{(n)} f(x). \tag{19}$$

**Lemma 4** ([33]). *If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , then*

$$\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |S_j(x, f)|^p \right)^{1/p} \lesssim p G_2 f(x). \tag{20}$$

Rodin [7] obtained a weak (1, 1)-type estimate for the operators  $G_p f(x)$  with fixed  $p > 1$ . From this estimate one can derive the following assertion in a standard way.

**Lemma 5** ([7]). *Let  $f \in L \log L(\mathbb{T})$ . Then*

$$\|G_2(f)\|_1 \lesssim 1 + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f| \log |f|.$$

For any function  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} G_{p,1}(x_1, x_2; f) &= G_p f_{x_2}(x_1), & G_{p,2}(x_1, x_2; f) &= G_p f_{x_1}(x_2), \\ G_{p,1}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2; f) &= G_p^{(n)} f_{x_2}(x_1), & G_{p,2}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2; f) &= G_p^{(n)} f_{x_1}(x_2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_{x_2}(\cdot) = f(\cdot, x_2)$  and  $f_{x_1}(\cdot) = f(x_1, \cdot)$  are considered as functions of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , respectively. Similarly, we denote the one-dimensional partial sums of  $f(x_1, x_2)$  with respect to each variable by

$$S_{n,1}(x_1, x_2, f) = S_n(x_1, f_{x_2}), \quad S_{n,2}(x_1, x_2, f) = S_n(x_2, f_{x_1}).$$

**Lemma 6.** *If  $f \in L \log L(\mathbb{T}^2)$ , then*

$$\left| \left\{ \sup_{p>1} \sup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(1/(nm) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{i,j}(x_1, x_2, f)|^p)^{1/p}}{p^2 \ln \ln(p+2)} > \lambda \right\} \right| \\ \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( 1 + \iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} |f| \log^+ |f| \right) \right)^{1/2}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

**Proof.** Using (19), (20), and the generalized Minkowski inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{i,j}(x_1, x_2, f)|^p \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{i,1}(x_1, x_2, S_{j,2}(f))|^p \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (G_{p,1}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, |S_{j,2}(f)|))^p \\ &\leq \left( G_{p,1}^{(n)} \left( x_1, x_2, \left( \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{j,2}(f)|^p \right)^{1/p} \right) \right)^p \\ &\leq \left( G_{p,1} \left( x_1, x_2, \left( \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{j,2}(f)|^p \right)^{1/p} \right) \right)^p \lesssim p^p (G_{p,1}(x_1, x_2, G_{2,2}(f)))^p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : \sup_{p>1} \sup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(1/(nm) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{i,j}(x_1, x_2, f)|^p)^{1/p}}{p^2 \ln \ln(p+2)} > \lambda \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : \sup_{p>1} \frac{G_{p,1}(x_1, x_2, G_{2,2}(f))}{p \ln \ln(p+2)} > \lambda \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, applying Lemmas 2 and 5, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega| &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{I}_\Omega(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} dx_2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{I}_\Omega(x_1, x_2) dx_1 \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{T}} G_{2,2}(x_1, x_2, f) dx_1 \right)^{1/2} dx_2 \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( 1 + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(x_1, x_2)| \log^+ |f(x_1, x_2)| dx_1 \right) \right]^{1/2} dx_2 \\ &\lesssim \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( 1 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |f(x_1, x_2)| \log^+ |f(x_1, x_2)| dx_1 dx_2 \right) \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let  $L_M := L_M(\mathbb{T}^2)$  be the Orlicz space of functions on  $\mathbb{T}^2$  generated by the Young function  $M(t) = t \log^+ t$ . It is known that  $L_M$  is a Banach space with respect to the Luxemburg norm

$$\|f\|_M := \inf \left\{ \lambda : \lambda > 0, \int_X M\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

According to Theorem 9.5 in [34, Chap. 2], we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} M(|f|) \right) \leq \|f\|_M \leq 1 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} M(|f|)$$

provided that  $\|f\|_M = 1$ . Hence Lemma 6 implies

$$\left| \left\{ \sup_{p>1} \sup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(1/(nm) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{i,j}(f)|^p)^{1/p}}{p^2 \log \log(p+2)} > \lambda \right\} \right| \lesssim \left( \frac{\|f\|_M}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{21}$$

Indeed, first, we derive the inequality in the case  $\|f\|_M = 1$  and then, using a homogeneity argument, we prove it in the general case.

**Proof of the Theorem.** First, we shall prove that, for any  $f \in L \log L(\mathbb{T}^2)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{p>1} \frac{(1/(nm) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{i,j}(f) - f|^p)^{1/p}}{p^2 \ln \ln(p+2)} = 0 \quad \text{a.e.} \tag{22}$$

Observe that (22) trivially holds for the double trigonometric polynomials. Indeed, let  $T$  be a trigonometric polynomial of degree  $(s_1, s_2)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{i,j}(T) - T &= 0, & i \geq s_1, & \quad j \geq s_2, \\ S_{i,j}(T) - T &= S_{s_1,j}(T) - T, & i \geq s_1, & \quad 0 \leq j < s_2, \\ S_{i,j}(T) - T &= S_{i,s_2}(T) - T, & 0 \leq i < s_1, & \quad j \geq s_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any integers  $n > s_1$  and  $m > s_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{i,j}(T) - T|^p \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{s_1-1} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=s_2}^{m-1} |S_{i,s_2}(T) - T|^p + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{s_2-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=s_1}^{n-1} |S_{s_1,j}(T) - T|^p \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{s_1-1} \sum_{j=0}^{s_2-1} |S_{i,j}(T) - T|^p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{s_1-1} |S_{i,s_2}(T) - T|^p + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{s_2-1} |S_{s_1,j}(T) - T|^p + \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{j=0}^{s_2-1} \sum_{i=0}^{s_1-1} |S_{i,j}(T) - T|^p \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{n} + \frac{c_2}{m}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants depending on  $T$ . Therefore, relation (22) holds for  $f = T$ . To prove it in the general case, it is enough to show that the set

$$G_\lambda = \left\{ \limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{p>1} \frac{(1/(nm) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{i,j}(f) - f|^p)^{1/p}}{p^2 \ln \ln(p+2)} > \lambda \right\}$$

has measure zero for any  $\lambda > 0$ . Since  $M(t)$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, it follows that the function  $f$  can be approximated by a trigonometric polynomial  $T$  (see [34]), that is, there exists a  $T$  for which

$$\|f - T\|_M < \varepsilon, \quad \|f - T\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon.$$

Since (22) holds for  $T$ , applying (21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |G_\lambda| &= \left| \left\{ \limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{p>1} \frac{(1/(nm) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{i,j}(f - T) - (f - T)|^p)^{1/p}}{p^2 \ln \ln(p+2)} > \lambda \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left\{ \sup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{p>1} \frac{(1/(nm) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{i,j}(f - T)|^p)^{1/p}}{p^2 \ln \ln(p+2)} > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right| + \left| \left\{ \sup_{p>1} \frac{\|f - T\|_M}{p^2 \ln \ln(p+2)} > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right| \\ &\lesssim \left( \frac{\|f - T\|_M}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{\|f\|_{L^1}}{\lambda} \leq \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$



Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  can be taken arbitrarily small, we conclude that  $|G_\lambda| = 0$  for any  $\lambda > 0$ , and hence (22) holds. To prove (6), observe that

$$u(s) = \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{s}{\ln \ln(s+2)}}\right) \leq v(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{k} \sqrt{\frac{s}{\ln \ln(k+2)}}\right)^k, \quad s > 1, \tag{23}$$

for some absolute constant  $d$ . Indeed, if  $s \geq 1$ , then one can check that

$$1 < \sqrt{\frac{s}{\ln \ln(s+2)}} < k(s) = \left\lceil \sqrt{\frac{s}{\ln \ln(s+2)}} \right\rceil + 1 < 2\sqrt{\frac{s}{\ln \ln(s+2)}},$$

and therefore for  $d$  large enough, we have

$$v(s) \geq \left(\frac{d}{k(s)} \sqrt{\frac{s}{\ln \ln(k(s)+2)}}\right)^{k(s)} > \left(\frac{d}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\ln \ln(s+2)}{\ln \ln(k(s)+2)}}\right)^{k(s)} > e^{k(s)} \geq u(s),$$

which implies (23). If the function  $\Phi$  satisfies (5), then one can check that

$$\Phi(s) \leq \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{A \cdot s}{\ln \ln(A \cdot s + 2)}}\right) = u(As), \quad s > S,$$

for some positive numbers  $A > 1$  and  $S > 1$ . Consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{i,j}(f) &= S_{i,j}(f) - f, \\ \varphi_{i,j}^*(f) &= \begin{cases} \varphi_{i,j}(f) & \text{if } |\varphi_{i,j}(f)| \leq S, \\ 0 & \text{if } |\varphi_{i,j}(f)| > S, \end{cases} \\ \varphi_{i,j}^{**}(f) &= \varphi_{i,j}(f) - \varphi_{i,j}^*(f). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (23) and the definition of  $\Phi$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \Phi(|\varphi_{i,j}(f)|) &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \Phi(|\varphi_{i,j}^*(f)|) + \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \Phi(|\varphi_{i,j}^{**}(f)|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \Phi(|\varphi_{i,j}^*(f)|) + v(A|\varphi_{i,j}^{**}(f)|) \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \Phi(|\varphi_{i,j}^*(f)|) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{d}{k} \sqrt{\frac{A \cdot |\varphi_{i,j}^{**}(f)|}{\ln \ln(k+2)}}\right)^k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \Phi(|\varphi_{i,j}^*(f)|) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (d\sqrt{A})^k \left( \sup_{p>1/2} \frac{(1/(nm) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |\varphi_{i,j}(f)|^p)^{1/p}}{4p^2 \ln \ln(2p+2)} \right)^{k/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The second term of the last expression tends to zero almost everywhere, because, according to (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{p>1/2} \frac{(1/(nm) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |\varphi_{i,j}(f)|^p)^{1/p}}{4p^2 \ln \ln(2p+2)} \\ &\leq \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{p>1} \frac{(1/(nm) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{i,j}(f) - f|^p)^{1/p}}{p^2 \ln \ln(p+2)} = 0 \quad \text{a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, to obtain (6), it is enough to prove the same for the first term. Relation (22) and Chebyshev's inequality imply

$$\begin{aligned} r_{n,m}(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{\#\{i, j \in \mathbb{N} : 0 \leq i < n, 0 \leq j < m, \varphi_{i,j}(x_1, x_2) > \varepsilon\}}{nm} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |\varphi_{i,j}(x_1, x_2, f)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.e.}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\#C$  denotes the cardinality of a finite set  $C$ . Thus, for a.e.  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \Phi(|\varphi_{i,j}^*(x_1, x_2, f)|) \\ \leq \limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} (r_{n,m}(x_1, x_2)\Phi(S) + (1 - r_{n,m}(x_1, x_2))\Phi(\varepsilon)) = \Phi(\varepsilon) \quad \text{a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  can be taken arbitrarily small, we obtain

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \Phi(|\varphi_{i,j}^*(x_1, x_2, f)|) = 0 \quad \text{a.e.},$$

which implies (6). □

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of the second author was supported by SCS RA under the grant 18-1A081.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. Fejér, "Untersuchungen über Fouriersche Reihen," *Math. Ann.* **58**, 51–69 (1904).
2. H. Lebesgue, "Recherches sur la sommabilité forte des series de Fourier," *Math. Ann.* **61**, 251–280 (1905).
3. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, "Sur la série de Fourier d'une fonction à carre sommable," *Comptes Rendus (Paris)* **156**, 1307–1309 (1913).
4. J. Marcinkiewicz, "Sur une methode remarquable de sommation des séries doublées de Fourier," *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **8**, 149–160 (1939).
5. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959).
6. K. I. Oskolkov, "On strong summability of Fourier series," in *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* Vol. 172: *Studies in the Theory of Functions of Several Real Variables and Approximation of Functions*, Collection of papers (Nauka, Moscow, 1985), pp. 280–290 [in Russian][*Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **172**, 303–314 (1987)].
7. V. A. Rodin, "The space BMO and strong means of Fourier series," *Anal. Math.* **16** (4), 291–302 (1990).
8. G. A. Karagulyan, "On the divergence of strong  $\Phi$ -means of Fourier series," *J. Contemp. Math. Anal.* **26** (2), 66–69 (1991).
9. G. A. Karagulyan, "Everywhere divergent  $\Phi$ -means of Fourier series," *Mat. Zametki* **80** (1), 50–59 (2006) [*Math. Notes* **80** (1), 47–56 (2006)].
10. B. Jessen, J. Marcinkiewicz, and A. Zygmund, "Note on the differentiability of multiple integrals," *Fund. Math.* **25**, 217–234 (1935).
11. L. D. Gogoladze, "On strong summability almost everywhere," *Mat. Sb.* **135** (177) (2), 158–168 (1988) [*Math. USSR-Sb.* **63** (1), 153–164 (1989)].
12. F. Schipp, "Über die starke Summation von Walsh–Fourier Reihen," *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **30**, 77–87 (1969).
13. F. Schipp, "On strong approximation of Walsh–Fourier series," *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Fiz. Oszt. Közl.* **19**, 101–111 (1969).
14. F. Schipp and N. X. Ky, "On strong summability of polynomial expansions," *Anal. Math.* **12** (2), 115–127 (1986).
15. L. Leindler, "On the strong approximation of Fourier series," *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **38**, 317–324 (1976).
16. L. Leindler, "Strong approximation and classes of functions," *Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen* **132**, 29–38 (1978).
17. L. Leindler, *Strong Approximation by Fourier Series* (Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, 1985).
18. L. Leindler, "Über die Approximation im starken Sinne," *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **16**, 255–262 (1965).

19. V. Totik, "On the strong approximation of Fourier series," *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **35** (1-2), 151–172 (1980).
20. V. Totik, "On the strong approximation of Fourier series," *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **35** (1-2), 151–172 (1980).
21. V. Totik, "On the generalization of Fejér's summation theorem," in *Functions, Series, Operators, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai* (North Holland, Amsterdam–Oxford–New York, 1983), Vol. 35, pp. 1195–1199.
22. V. Totik, "Notes on Fourier series: strong approximation," *J. Approx. Theory* **43**, 105–111 (1985).
23. U. Goginava and L. Gogoladze, "Strong approximation by Marcinkiewicz means of double Walsh–Fourier series," *Constr. Approx.* **35** (1), 1–19 (2012).
24. U. Goginava and L. Gogoladze, "Strong approximation of double Walsh–Fourier series," *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **49** (2), 170–188 (2012).
25. U. Goginava, L. Gogoladze, and G. Karagulyan, "BMO-estimation and almost everywhere exponential summability of quadratic partial sums of double Fourier series," *Constr. Approx.* **40** (1), 105–120 (2014).
26. G. Gát, U. Goginava, and G. Karagulyan, "Almost everywhere strong summability of Marcinkiewicz means of double Walsh–Fourier series," *Anal. Math.* **40** (4), 243–266 (2014).
27. G. Gát, U. Goginava, and G. Karagulyan, "On everywhere divergence of the strong  $\Phi$ -means of Walsh–Fourier series," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **421** (1), 206–214 (2015).
28. F. Weisz, "Strong summability of more-dimensional Ciesielski–Fourier series," *East J. Approx.* **10** (3), 333–354 (2004).
29. F. Weisz, "Lebesgue points of double Fourier series and strong summability," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **432** (1), 441–462 (2015).
30. F. Weisz, "Lebesgue points of two-dimensional Fourier transforms and strong summability," *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **21** (4), 885–914 (2015).
31. F. Weisz, "Strong summability of Fourier transforms at Lebesgue points and Wiener amalgam spaces," *J. Funct. Spaces*, No. 420750 (2015).
32. O. D. Gabisoniya, "Points of strong summability of Fourier series," *Mat. Zametki* **14** (5), 615–626 (1973) [*Math. Notes* **14** (5), 913–918 (1973)].
33. F. Schipp, "On the strong summability of Walsh series," *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **52** (3-4), 611–633 (1998).
34. M. A. Krasnosel'skii and Ya. B. Rutitskii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces* (Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1958) [in Russian].